

13 December 2016 [31–16]

Administrative Assessment Report – Application A1137

Polysorbate 20 as a Food Additive

Date received: 19 October 2016 Date due for completion of administrative assessment: 10 November 2016 Date completed: 10 November 2016					
Applicant: Earlee Products Pty Ltd		Potentially affected standard: Schedule 15			
Brief description of App To permit the use of poly					
Procedure: General	Estimated total hours: 350	Provisional estimated start work: Early April 2017			
	Reasons why: Seeking permission for a new food additive which is similar to currently permitted food additives and risk assessment is expected to be straightforward				

Decision

Application accepted	
Date: 10 November 2016	

Has the Applicant requested confidential commercial information status?			
Yes✔	No		
What do	cuments	are affected?	
Appendi	x 8		
Has the Applicant provided justification for confidential commercial information request?			
Yes✔	No	N/A	
Has the Applicant sought special consideration e.g. novel food exclusivity, two separate applications which need to be progressed together?			
Yes N	o√		

Charges

Does FSANZ consider that the application confers an exclusive capturable commercial benefit on the Applicant?

Yes No√

Does the Applicant want to expedite consideration of this Application?

Yes No**√** Not known

Application Handbook requirements

3.1.1, 3.3.1 Is the checklist completed? Yes√ No Does the Application meet the requirements of the relevant Guidelines? Yes√ No Does the Application relate to a matter that may be developed as a food regulatory measure, or that warrants a variation of a food regulatory measure? Yes√ No Is the Application so similar to a previous application or proposal for the development or variation of a food regulatory measure that it ought not to be accepted? Yes No Did the Applicant identify the Procedure that, in their view, applies to the consideration of the development or			
YesNoDoes the Application meet the requirements of the relevant Guidelines?YesNoDoes the Application relate to a matter that may be developed as a food regulatory measure, or that warrants a variation of a food regulatory measure?YesNoIs the Application so similar to a previous application or proposal for the development or variation of a food regulatory measure that it ought not to be accepted?YesNoDid the Applicant identify the Procedure that, in their view, applies to the consideration of			
Does the Application meet the requirements of the relevant Guidelines?Yes✓NoDoes the Application relate to a matter that may be developed as a food regulatory measure, or that warrants a variation of a food regulatory measure?Yes✓NoIs the Application so similar to a previous application or proposal for the development or variation of a food regulatory measure that it ought not to be accepted?YesNo✓Did the Applicant identify the Procedure that, in their view, applies to the consideration of			
Yes No Does the Application relate to a matter that may be developed as a food regulatory measure, or that warrants a variation of a food regulatory measure? Yes No Is the Application so similar to a previous application or proposal for the development or variation of a food regulatory measure that it ought not to be accepted? Yes No Did the Applicant identify the Procedure that, in their view, applies to the consideration of			
Does the Application relate to a matter that may be developed as a food regulatory measure, or that warrants a variation of a food regulatory measure? Yes No Is the Application so similar to a previous application or proposal for the development or variation of a food regulatory measure that it ought not to be accepted? Yes No Did the Applicant identify the Procedure that, in their view, applies to the consideration of			
or that warrants a variation of a food regulatory measure? Yes ✓ No Is the Application so similar to a previous application or proposal for the development or variation of a food regulatory measure that it ought not to be accepted? Yes No✓ Did the Applicant identify the Procedure that, in their view, applies to the consideration of			
Is the Application so similar to a previous application or proposal for the development or variation of a food regulatory measure that it ought not to be accepted? Yes No✓ Did the Applicant identify the Procedure that, in their view, applies to the consideration of			
variation of a food regulatory measure that it ought not to be accepted? Yes No✓ Did the Applicant identify the Procedure that, in their view, applies to the consideration of			
Did the Applicant identify the Procedure that, in their view, applies to the consideration of			
Did the Applicant identify the Procedure that, in their view, applies to the consideration of this Application?			
Yes✔ No			
If yes, indicate which Procedure:			
General			
Other Comments or Relevant Matters:			
Nil			

Consultation & assessment timeframe

Proposed length of public consultation period:				
6 weeks				
Proposed timeframe for assessment:				
'Early Bird Notification' due: 8 December 2016				
Commence assessment (clock start)	Early May 2017			
Completion of assessment & preparation of draft food reg measure	Early Sept 2017			
Public comment	Mid-Sept–late Oct 2017			
Board to complete approval	Early Feb 2018			
Notification to Forum	Mid-Feb 2018			
Anticipated gazettal if no review requested	Late April 2018			